

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11899-2017

Date of decision: 26.05.2017

Rajender

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: Mr. Dharamveer Phour, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari seeking quashing of order dated 27.11.2014 (Annexure P-4) whereby the claim of the petitioner for regularization of his service was rejected.

Concededly, the earlier writ petition bearing CWP-10994-2014 filed by the petitioner was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 29.05.2014 (Annexure P-2), with a direction to respondent No. 2-Engineer-in-Chief (PWD B&R), Haryana, to consider and decide the legal notice dated 20.02.2014 within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of that order. Accordingly, the legal notice of the petitioner was decided by respondent No. 2 vide impugned order Annexure P-4.

The petitioner did not raise any grouse against the said order Annexure P-4 till date except the instant writ petition filed on 24.05.2017.

quite for a considerable period, rather he would have adopted some legal recourse for the redressal of his grievance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the case of the petitioner may be treated at par with that of (Suresh Kumar Vs. State of Haryana and others (CWP-14686-2015)). The plea of learned counsel for the petitioner cannot be acceded to especially when the case of the petitioner is not at par with that of Suresh Kumar's case (supra).

Since, the petitioner, after awakening from a great slumber, has filed this petition after two years, therefore, the instant writ petition is not maintainable on account of delay and laches and the same is dismissed as such.

May 26, 2017
rishu

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/No**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**