

CWP-22787-2012

Date of decision : 03.11.2017

Ramesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGHPresent: Mr.Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petition has otherwise been rendered infructuous, in view of the fact that the impugned order withdrawing the petitioners' regular appointment, i.e. Annexure P-5, has now been withdrawn by the respondents on 29.05.2017, however the issue of grant of revision of pay scales and arrears etc. still remains pending.

Consequently while disposing of this petition as having been rendered infructuous, as regards the higher pay scale and arrears etc. due to the petitioner (as contended), he would file a representation which would be decided by the competent authority within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, by passing a speaking order, obviously taking into consideration the directions of the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal no.4325 of 2008, decided in favour of the petitioner on 11.07.2008.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**November 03, 2017.**

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No