

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1184 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : January 24, 2017**

Bhola Singh Chahal

.. Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others ..Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. A.K. Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The short prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that vide order dated 04.02.2013 (Annexure P-2), the juniors of the petitioner were promoted as Assistant Executive Engineer, whereas his claim was not considered. He had filed representation dated 21.06.2013 (Annexure P-3). Even after his retirement, he has filed representation dated 31.03.2015 (Annexure P-4), which has not been decided so far. Therefore, the respondents should be directed to decide the representation.

In these circumstances without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 31.03.2015 (Annexure P-4) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

January 24, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No