

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 17019 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 21.7.2017

Jagmit Singh

.. Petitioner

vs

Land Acquisition Collector, Improvement Trust,
Rupnagar and others

.. Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Gurvinder Singh Gill**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Arora, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. S. C. Pathela, Advocate, for the Improvement Trust.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The landowner has filed the present petition impugning the award dated 2.5.2014, passed by Land Acquisition Tribunal, Rupnagar (for short, 'the Tribunal'), whereby compensation was assessed on account of acquisition of land. The award of the Tribunal has been accepted by Improvement Trust, Rupnagar. Only the landowner is aggrieved.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that vide notification dated 28.4.1994, issued under Section 42 of the Punjab Town Improvement Act, 1922 (for short, 'the Act'), State of Punjab accorded sanction for the development scheme known as Transport Nagar Development Scheme, Rupnagar, vide which area measuring 24 acres, 6 kanals and 11 marlas approximately was acquired by Improvement Trust, Rupnagar in the

boundaries of Municipal Committee, Rupnagar. After the acquisition of land, award dated 18.4.1996 was passed by Land Acquisition Collector, Improvement Trust, Rupnagar (for short, 'the Collector'), vide which compensation was granted for the acquired land. Aggrieved against the award of the Collector, the landowner filed objections, which were referred to the Tribunal, who keeping in view the material placed on record by the parties, determined the fair value of the acquired land as under:

<u>Kind of land</u>	<u>Rate per acre in ₹</u>
Chahi land	2,69,312/-
Barani land	1,34,656/-

The landowner has also been granted 20% increase in the amount of compensation assessed by the Collector for fruit bearing and non-fruit bearing trees. The aforesaid award has been impugned in the present petition.

No one has appeared for the petitioner at the time of hearing.

Learned counsel for the respondents very fairly submitted that the issue raised in the present petition is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in CWP No. 5861 of 2014 Gurdial Singh vs Land Acquisition Tribunal and others, decided on 9.2.2017, whereby the compensation for the entire land acquired vide same notification was assessed at ₹ 2,69,312/- per acre.

Accordingly, for the reasons recorded in Gurdial Singh's case (supra), the compensation in the present case is also assessed for the entire acquired land at ₹ 2,69,312/- per acre.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

As no one has appeared for the petitioner, a copy of the order
be sent to the petitioner for information.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

21.7.2017
vs

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No