

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(130)

CWP-11728-2017

Decided on: May 25, 2017.

Dinesh Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner claims that pursuant to the work allotted to him for handling contract at Railhead Malerkotla for the year 2017-18 by the Food Corporation of India, the obstruction is being caused by the private respondents affecting the life and liberty of the petitioner.

Through instant writ petition enforcement of the legal right of protection of life and liberty, has been sought. Petitioner appears to have sent a representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur vide Annexure P-2.

Notice to Advocate General, Punjab.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Anu Pal, AAG, Punjab, present in the Court, accepts notice. Copy given.

This petition is disposed of, at this stage, with a direction that claim of the petitioner for protection of life and liberty submitted to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur vide Annexure P-2 dated 01.03.2017, will be decided within a period of one month after the receipt of certified copy of this order.

A further direction is issued that in case of any imminent threat to the petitioner, it will be open to him to approach the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur who would ensure that during pendency of the consideration of the application Annexure P-2 dated 01.03.2017, there is no breach of peace or hindrance in the performance obligation accruing from the contract granted to the petitioner by the Food Corporation of India.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

May 25, 2017
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No