

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 11678 of 2017

Date of decision: 25.05.2017

Avtar Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. M.S. Kang, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner herein is against the inaction of the respondents-State in regularizing his services despite having worked for more than five years.

Mr. M.S. Kang, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that a legal notice dated 28.11.2016 (Annexure P-10) has already been served upon the department concerned, however, no decision is forthcoming. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that at the present moment the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued for an early consideration and decision in the legal notice dated 28.11.2016 (Annexure P-10) served by the petitioner upon the respondents.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice 28.11.2016 (Annexure P-10) served by the petitioner upon the respondents by passing a speaking order in

accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the respondent-department found that there is a merit in the claim of the petitioner, the needful be done within a period of two months thereafter.

25.05.2017

Satyawan

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes.
No.