

203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 19392 of 2013 (O/M)
Date of decision : 9.1.2017

Ram Kumar Singla Petitioner (s)
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate, for,
Ms. Smriti Dhir, Advocate, Legal Aid Counsel,
for the petitioner.
Mr. R.S. Pathania, Deputy A.G. Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned proxy counsel appearing for the learned legal aid counsel for the petitioner states that during the pendency of the present writ petition, the punishment order has been passed in consequence to the departmental inquiry. The petitioner seeks to challenge the said punishment order. Therefore, he seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file fresh one on the same cause of action after challenging the said departmental inquiry and the punishment order.

In these circumstances, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh one on the same cause of action and also challenging the departmental inquiry and the punishment order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

9.1.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No