

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH
117

CWP-11635-2017

Date of decision:25.05.2017

Harish Kumar s/o Tarsem Lal

...*PETITIONER*

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...*RESPONDENTS*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. S.B.Nagpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner initially was appointed on contract basis on the post of Senior Lab Attendant on 08.06.2011 (Annexure P-2) on consolidated salary of ₹3100 per month, which was subsequently increased to ₹7200 per month. He continued in service and ultimately his services were regularized in the category of 'Handicapped Persons' w.e.f. 06.04.2014 vide order dated 19.05.2014 (Annexure P-6). Despite the services having been regularized of the petitioner, the pay scale for the post i.e. 5910-20200+Grade pay of ₹2400+@ initial pay of ₹9880+all admissible allowances at the place of posting since regularization and annual increments also have not been granted to the petitioner and he is being paid a consolidated salary of ₹7200 per month. He had been approaching the Principal, Government Senior Secondary School-respondent No. 4 through various representations, two of

which have been appended as Annexures P-7 and P-8 dated 18.05.2012 and 19.08.2015 but without any result although the Principal had forwarded his case to the District Education Officer (Secondary Education) Amritsar-respondent No. 3.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner may be given liberty to file a detailed representation to The Director of Public Instructions (Secondary Education), Punjab-respondent No. 2 claiming the relief, as has been projected in the present writ petition, which shall be submitted within a period of two weeks from today and the same may be ordered to be considered and decided within some specified time.

In the light of the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioner, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation to The Director of Public Instructions (Secondary Education), Punjab-respondent No. 2 within a period of two weeks from today, who shall consider and decide the same within a period of three months from the date of submission of the representation.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to him within a further period of one month. In case the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, a well-reasoned speaking order be passed and conveyed to him forthwith.

May 25, 2017
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No