

123

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 11576 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.5.2017

Kulwant Singh and others Petitioner (s)
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Simranjeet Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are pre-1.1.2006 retirees from Departments of Irrigation and Public Health, Punjab. The petitioners claim the benefit of the decision of this Court, passed in CWP No. 25733 of 2012, titled as A.P. Sharma and others Versus State of Punjab and others, decided on 22.10.2013 (Annexure-P-9), which has now become final after the dismissal of SLP by the Apex Court.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the petitioners are directed to make a representation to respondents No. 2 and 3. On the representation being made by the petitioners, respondents No. 2 and 3 shall consider the same in the light of judgment of this Court in A.P. Sharma's case (supra). If the petitioners are covered by the said judgment, same benefits shall be extended to them as allowed to the similarly situated employees. If in case, the petitioners are not covered/entitled, a speaking order shall be passed by respondents declining it.

In view of the matter, the present writ petition is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No