

**250 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11568 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 30.05.2017**

Pradeep Kumar and others

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. G.S.Attariwala, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AJAY TEWARI, J.(ORAL)

By this petition the petitioners have challenged the order dated 21.12.2016 (Annexure P-3) whereby the respondent No.4 was granted re-employment upto 31.05.2017. Today is already 30.05.2017 and consequently as far as the first prayer of the petitioner is concerned the writ petition is today positioned on the cusp of infructuousness. The further prayer made in this petition is that the official respondents should be permanently restrained from giving re-employment to any officer including the respondent No.4 since it adversely affects the promotional avenues of the feeder cadre employees.

Today learned counsel are agreed that the issue of re-employment is covered by the decision of this Court passed in CWP No.6527 of 2017 (Annexure P-8) which in turn is based on Rule 3.26 of the Punjab Civil Services Rules Vol. I Part I and pray that as regards the issue

of granting re-employment to either the respondent No.4 or any other employee in the future the parameters laid down in the Rules (Annexure P-5) and the order (Annexure P-8) have to be kept in mind.

In view of this agreement between the learned counsel for the parties the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to take a decision on issues of re-employment of respondent No.4 or any other officer in terms of Annexures P-5 and P-8.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

30.05.2017
pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No