

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 15128 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.02.2017

Sarvanjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. M.S. Khaira, Sr. Advocate assisted by
Mr. Randeep Singh Khaira, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondents/State.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

On 01.08.2016, this Court, while issuing notice of motion,
passed the following order:-

“ Petitioner was a member of the Indian Hockey Team (Seniors) which won Silver medal in Commonwealth Game 2010 and Bronze medal in Asian Games 2010.

Government of Punjab has framed a policy vide notification dated 5.7.2016 (P-6) for providing employment to outstanding sports persons. Pursuant to policy P-6, recruitment notice P-7 for the posts of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Inspectors and Constables from amongst outstanding sports person has been issued.

It is contended that benefit is being restricted only to those sports persons who have achieved medals after Olympics 2012, which has no nexus with the object to be achieved.

Notice of motion for 23.8.2016.

In the meanwhile application, if any, of the petitioner for recruitment pursuant to P-7 shall be considered on merits on provisional basis. The result, however, shall be kept in abeyance subject to decision of the present writ petition.

To be shown in the urgent list. ”

In response, learned State Counsel has filed a reply, wherein in para No. 4 it has been stated that the notification dated 05.07.2016 has been partially amended by issuance of a subsequent notification dated 29.09.2016, whereby the Government has decided to give upper age relaxation limit upto three years, and the condition imposed regarding applying for these posts with effect from 2012 Olympics has been deleted, therefore, the medal winning players before Olympics 2012 have also been made eligible to apply these posts, reserved for Sportsmen Category.

At the time of hearing, learned counsel for the parties are agreed that the present petition has become infructuous, as the petitioner not only has been treated as eligible, that has also been appointed as Deputy Superintendent of Police in the Sportsmen Category.

In view of above, present petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

February 28, 2017
'dk kamra'

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No