

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 11549 of 2017
Date of Decision : May 31, 2017**

Sanjeev Singla

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL***

Present: Mr. Kamaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

S.J. Vazifdar, C.J.(Oral)

We are informed that the petitioner participated in the fresh tender but he was not the highest bidder. The only question now is whether the respondent had rightly cancelled the contract and forfeited the security as per condition No. 15 of the agreement. The respondents had at least to hear the petitioner in respect of the cancellation of the contract and security deposit.

The petition is disposed of by granting the petitioner liberty to respond to the impugned communication dated 10.5.2017 and in the event of the petitioner doing so, the respondent shall consider the same in accordance with law including affording the petitioner a personal hearing and pass a fresh order. The impugned order dated 10.5.2017 is not set

aside at this stage. The same, however, shall be substituted by the fresh order.

We direct the respondent to decide the application as early as possible on receipt of a copy of this order.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

31.05.2017
P.Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No