

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.15110 of 2016.

Date of Decision:-08.05.2017.

Beena

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: None for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was directed to produce rules of recruitment in respect of the Semi Professional Assistant post for which post she intends to make an application. It is learnt that the petitioner is over age for the post. Thus, she has questioned the validity of the advertisement insofar as prescription of age criteria.

Matter called twice. None appeared.

Short question for consideration in the present petition is whether the petitioner is over age for the post in question with reference to the prescription of age qualification in the advertisement or not? The petitioner has not made out a case so as to interfere with the advertisement dated 3.05.2016 insofar as relaxation of age criteria with reference to any statutory provision or rules of recruitment.

Hence, petitions stands dismissed.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

May 08, 2017.

sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.