

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**C.M. No.392-393 of 2017 in/and
CWP No.15106 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision: 19.1.2017**

Dr. Bharat Bhushan Chauhan

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Dixit Garg, Advocate, for
Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate,
for the respondents/applicants.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

C.M. No.392 of 2017

This is an application for vacation of stay.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this petition has been rendered infructuous since the prayer has been granted as the petitioner has been given extension in service in terms of the policy of extension in service.

By consent of both the parties, the main case is taken up on board today itself.

CWP No.15106 of 2016(O&M)

In view of statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition is dismissed as infructuous. Since the matter has been rendered infructuous, there is no necessity to pass orders on the stay application filed by the respondents. In view of the statement of counsel for the petitioner, the petition is dismissed as infructuous. In case, any subsisting grievance remains as raised in this petition, the respondents would be free to bring to the notice of this Court by an application presented even after the disposal of the case.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

**19.1.2017
monika**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No