

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**1. CWP-15103-2016**  
**Date of Decision: February 14, 2017**

M/s Bal Kishan Harsh Kumar .....Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Haryana and others .....Respondents

**2. CWP-14999-2016**  
M/s Ram Saran Mehar Singh .....Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Haryana and others .....Respondents  
and

**3. CWP-14992-2016**  
M/s Sohan Singh Babu Ram .....Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Haryana and others .....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

|    |                                                                       |         |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not?                               | Yes/No  |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?                | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No  |

.....

Present: Ms.Anna Bansal, Advocate for  
Mr.Ram Lal Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioners.  
Mr.Deepak Balyan, Addl.AG, Haryana.  
Mr.APS Mann, Advocate  
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

.....

**SURYA KANT, J.**

This order shall dispose of the above captioned writ petitions as the point in issue involved in all the cases is common in nature. For the sake of convenience the facts are being extracted from CWP-14992-2016.

The instant writ petition essentially seeks a direction to implement the order dated 28.02.2014 passed by the Chief Administrator, Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board, Panchkula (for brevity, `the

Board'), whereby the petitioner has been held entitled to for allotment of a plot on reserve price, which was fixed by the Board vide Resolution dated 01.06.1987.

Learned counsel for the respondents informs that the Market Committee has filed revision petition against the above-stated order in accordance with the provisions of the Punjab Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1961 as applicable to State of Haryana. The revision petition is still pending.

Since legality of the order, implementation whereof is sought in this petition is sub judice before the Revisional Authority, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the learned Revisional Authority to decide the revision petition expeditiously and preferably within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the Revisional Authority also upholds the order and if Market Committee/Board did not challenge the same before the next Forum within a period of three months thereafter, the same shall have to be given effect to within one month thereafter.

**( SURYA KANT )**  
**JUDGE**

**February 14, 2017**  
meenuss

**( SUDIP AHLUWALIA )**  
**JUDGE**

- |                                       |               |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| <b>1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?</b> | <b>Yes/No</b> |
| <b>2. Whether reportable ?</b>        | <b>Yes/No</b> |