

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 11533 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 24.5.2017

Mohinder Pal

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.K. Arya, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner was working as a Work Munshi with respondent-department and was given charge of higher post of Junior Engineer (Civil), vide order dated 23.12.2011 (Annexure-P-3), where he continued to work till 17.6.2015. Thereafter, on 17.6.2015, the petitioner was reverted on the ground that his promotion was excess of quota. The petitioner has retired from service on 30.6.2015. The petitioner claims salary for the period he worked on the higher post. The petitioner has already served a legal notice dated 12.10.2016 (Annexure-P-5) upon respondents. The short prayer made at this stage is to direct respondent No. 2 to decide the said legal notice of the petitioner dated 12.10.2016 (Annexure-P-5) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the said legal of the petitioner dated 12.10.2016 (Annexure-P-5) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.5.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No