

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 11511 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.5.2017

Sampurna Nand Sharma

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner retired from service on 30.9.2014 on attaining the age of superannuation. Some of the retiral benefits have been released, however, some of the retiral benefits have not been released. The petitioner has already served a legal notice dated 1.2.2017 (Annexure-P-3) upon respondents. The short prayer made at this stage is to direct respondent No. 3 to decide the said legal notice of the petitioner dated 1.2.2017 (Annexure-P-3) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 1.2.2017 (Annexure-P-3) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to say that if there is no legal impediment in the release of the retiral benefits, the same shall be released forthwith with interest, as per the Government instructions.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.5.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No