

**128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11482 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 24, 2017

Gurlal Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Hemen Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners have stated that the petitioners are the Mandi Supervisors-cum-Fee Collectors. Pay anomaly has arisen since 01.12.2011, in which Auction Recorders are getting more salary than the Mandi Supervisors-cum-Fee Collectors. Petitioners had already made a representation dated 13.01.2016 (Annexure P-3), which was recommended by Board of Dictores of Punjab Mandi Board on 11.01.2016. The same was sent to the Government vide letter dated 04.02.2016 (Annexure P-2) but the Government is not taking any decision thereupon.

Short prayer made at this stage is to direct respondent No.1 to take a decision on the letter dated 04.02.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.1 to take a final decision on the letter dated 04.02.2016 (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 24, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes Whether Reportable: No