

113

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 11477 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.5.2017

Satpal Singh and others

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are post-1.1.2006 retirees. The learned counsel for the petitioners relies upon the judgment of this Court, passed in CWP No. 2866 of 2014, titled as Karanvir Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and others, decided on 30.9.2016 (Annexure-P-6). It is stated that the matter was settled by this Court in CWP No. 25733 of 2012, titled as A.P. Sharma and others Versus State of Punjab and others, decided on 22.10.2013, which has become final upto the Apex Court, vide order dated 4.5.2017 (Annexure-P-5). The petitioners seek the benefit of judgment of this Court in Karanvir Singh's case (supra). The petitioners have already issued a legal notice dated 29.4.2017 (Annexure-P-4) upon respondents. The short prayer made at this stage is to direct respondents to decide the said legal notice in the light of judgment of this Court in Karanvir Singh's case (supra).

In view of the matter, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to consider the said legal notice of the petitioners dated 29.4.2017

CWP No. 11477 of 2017 (O/M)

(Annexure-P-4) in the light of judgment of this Court in Karanvir Singh's case (supra) and decide the same within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

However, the Government can wait for the decision of the LPA/SLP, against Karanvir Singh's case (supra) and take a final decision after the decision of the LPA/SLP. If the LPA/SLP is dismissed, the directions contained in this order shall be complied with within two months of the dismissal of the LPA/SLP and if the LPA/SLP is allowed, then subject to the decision of the LPA/SLP.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No