

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.1146 of 2017
Date of Decision: January 23, 2017

Mamraj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Sandeep Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that ROR No.420 of 2016, as per annexure P-2, is pending before the Financial Commissioner, Haryana. The other party, who was on the caveat, had already put in appearance and the stay application has not been decided so far. The next date fixed in the ROR is 6.2.2017.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Sandeep Singh Mann, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Financial Commissioner Haryana-respondent No.1 to decide the stay application moved in the aforementioned ROR No.420 of 2016 or the ROR positively in accordance

with law after hearing the parties on the date fixed by passing a speaking order as the petitioner has been left in lurch.

January 23, 2017
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No