

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.15951 of 2015

Date of Decision:-12.01.2017.

Saneh Lata

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

1.) In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has sought for a direction to grant of bunching increment pursuant to the revised pay under HCS (RP) Rules, 2008 notified on 30.12.2008 which would be given effect w.e.f. 1.1.2006. During the pendency of the litigation, it is stated that further Rules, 2008 has been amended which is disadvantage to the petitioner as contended by learned counsel for the State-respondents. Irrespective of whether the petitioner is entitled or not. The concerned respondent is directed to take a decision whether the petitioner is entitled for bunching increment pursuant to the HCS (RP) Rules, 20008 with reference to the amended Rules or not. To that extent a speaking order shall be passed by the competent authority within a period of four months from today.

2.) At this stage, learned counsel for the State pointed out that reply filed in CWP No.15951 of 2015 that LPA decisions dated 12.5.2014 is the subject matter before the Supreme Court in Special Leave Appeal No.21301-21322 of 2015 wherein operation of the orders of this Court has been stayed and it was further ordered that no recovery shall be effected till further orders. These developments may also be taken into consideration while passing order.

3.) Accordingly, CWP stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

January 12, 2017.

sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.