

**136 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11344 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 22, 2017

Gurmel Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rahul Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has retired from service on 28.02.2015 on attaining the age of superannuation. It is stated that till date, his retiral benefits have not been released. The petitioner has also issued legal notice dated 15.03.2017 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would be satisfied if respondent No.4 is directed to decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 15.03.2017 (Annexure P-3) within a given time frame.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 15.03.2017 (Annexure P-3) within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and if the petitioner is found entitled to the retiral benefits, the same be released to him along with interest as per government instructions.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 22, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes Whether Reportable: No