

**135 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11340 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 22, 2017

Amar Singh Mehta

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Pardeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

It comes out that the petitioner claims that there is discrepancies in the pension of the petitioner from 2012. However, there is no mention in the pleadings.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh one after giving all the particulars supported by the documents.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 22, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No

Whether Reportable: No