

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11322 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 23, 2017

Joginder Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners are the retired teachers from the Government Aided Privately Managed Schools. Their claim is for payment of leave encashment. It is contended that the case of the petitioners is covered by the judgment of this Court passed in CWP No.23954 of 2013, titled as “***Kamal Bhardwaj & others v State of Punjab and others***”, decided on 11.03.2016 (Annexure P-10). The petitioners have already issued a legal notice dated 10.01.2017 (Annexure P-9).

Short prayer made at this stage is to direct respondent Nos.1 and 2 to decide the legal notice of the petitioners dated 10.01.2017 (Annexure P-9) in the light of the judgment of this Court in ***Kamal Bhardwaj's case (supra)*** (Annexure P-10).

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent

Nos.1 and 2 to decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 10.01.2017 (Annexure P-9) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order by passing a speaking order, considering the judgment dated 11.03.2016 (Annexure P-10).

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 23, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No