

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CWP No. 14844 of 2016
Date of Decision: April 19, 2017

Gaurav Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aproov Garg, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Kashitija, Advocate,
for Mr. Pardeep Solath, Advocate,
for respondents no.2 and 3.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners have sought for direction to the respondents to implement order dated 25.03.2016 (Annexure P/4).
2. Petitioners are stated to have been appointed through respondent No.4 – Agency in the respondent – Bank. Petitioners and 4th respondent have entered into an agreement relating to conditions of service. One of the condition imposed is that validity of contract would be valid for two years only. Said extended agreement is dated 11.09.2014 and the extended time limit of two years expires on 10.09.2016. Therefore, present petition has become infructuous.
3. Accordingly, instant writ petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

April 19, 2017
vkd

[P.B. Bajanthri]
Judge

Whether reasoned / speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : No