

130

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 11233 of 2017

Date of Decision : 22.05.2017

Harish Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner claims that by the order of the Court it had been directed that he would be given seniority as per his merit in the original selection and even in the appointment order (Annexure P-4) the respondents have mentioned the same but they have not given benefit to him of that seniority.

For the grievance raised in this petition the petitioner is stated to have issued representation dated 24.06.2016 (Annexure P-8) and at this stage he would be satisfied if the same is decided by the respondent No.3 by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3 to decide the said representation by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of

three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc.
Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

May 22, 2017
Pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No