

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CWP No.11227 of 2017

Date of decision: 03.07.2017

Kuldip Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.Surender Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks a writ in the nature of prohibition, restraining the respondents from relieving the petitioner till the age of 58 years, i.e., till 2020.

A perusal of the writ petition does not show as to on what terms the appointment of the petitioner was made. Even otherwise, as on date, no action has been taken against the petitioner. In such circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that no cause of action, as such, has arisen, at this stage, to the petitioner, to invoke the extraordinary writ jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Faced with this situation, counsel does not press the present writ petition and prays for liberty to approach this Court, in case any adverse action is taken against the petitioner, with more details.

Ordered accordingly.

03.07.2017

Sailesh

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No