

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 11206 of 2017
Decided on : 22.05.2017**

Surinder Kaur

. . . Petitioner

Versus

Authorised Officer, Syndicate Bank,
Sector 36-A Branch, Chanigarh

. . . Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU**

PRESENT: Mr. Narinder S. Lucky, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking direction to the respondent to accept the amount as settled under the OTS Scheme in view of the letter dated 25th January, 2017 (Annexure P-4) issued by the respondent. Further, payer has also been made to issue direction to the respondent regarding sale of property of the petitioner under the Securitization & Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (in short 'the Act').

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to her, in accordance with law..

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to her, in accordance with law.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE**

May 22, 2017

J.Ram

*Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*