

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 1118 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.1.2017

Avtar Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Ms. AK Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution came to be filed challenging the orders dated 10th December, 2016 and 4th January, 2017 respectively (Annexures P/1 to P/8), by which the services of the petitioners have been terminated.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the services of the petitioners have been terminated without issuing any show cause notice and by not following the principle of natural justice. It is also contended that as per the appointment letters issued, the services could only be terminated by giving one month's notice which has not been done in the instant case.

Notice of motion was issued and on the asking of the Court, Mr. Avinit Avasthi, AAG, Punjab, had accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

Today when the matter was taken up for hearing, Mr. Pankaj

Mulwani, DAG, Punjab has placed on record a photo copy of Memo No. 332/LA-4 dated 25th January, 2017, wherein, a decision has been taken to withdraw the impugned orders dated 4th January, 2017. Furthermore, it is stated therein that the petitioners would be issued show cause notices giving reasons for termination of their services and they will be given full opportunity of hearing, and after considering their respective replies, fresh orders would be passed. A photocopy of the order dated 25th January, 2017 is taken on record and is marked as 'A'.

In view of the above fact that the impugned orders stand withdrawn, the instant writ petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

27.1.2017
prem

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No