

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(127)

CWP-11176-2017

Decided on: May 22, 2017.

Gurpreet Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Saurabh Kapoor, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Through instant writ petition, petitioner seeks quashing of order Annexure P-9 by virtue of which complaint of the petitioner for withdrawing all the benefits granted to respondent No.4 his wife, has been dismissed.

The grievance of the petitioner is that respondent No.4 had married the petitioner. Petitioner claims that he belongs to scheduled caste category and respondent No.4 had married him only to get various benefits permissible to the scheduled caste category persons.

There appears to be matrimonial dispute pending between the husband and wife.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the benefits which have been given to his wife in the capacity as scheduled caste candidate, are not permissible as per the judgment of the Apex Court in **Mrs. Valsamma Paul Vs. Cochin University and others, AIR 1996 SC 1011.**

I have considered the contentions of learned counsel for the petitioner.

Since the controversy requires number of disputed facts to be considered and decided, it will not be appropriate for this Court in writ jurisdiction to enter into the controversy.

The petition is dismissed without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to settle all the scores with respondent No.4 by approaching the civil Court.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

May 22, 2017
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No