

111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 11106 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 22.5.2017

Thakur Lal Sondhi Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. K.L. Kohli, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

..-

..-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that there was anomaly in the fixation of pay of the petitioner in pursuance to the letter dated 15.12.2011 (Annexure-P-2). It is stated that the matter was settled by this Court in CWP No. 25733 of 2012, titled as A.P. Sharma and others Versus State of Punjab and others, decided on 22.10.2013, which has become final upto the Apex Court. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks the benefit of judgment of this Court in A.P. Sharma's case (supra). The petitioner has already issued a legal notice dated 11.11.2016 (Annexure-P-5) upon respondents. The short prayer made at this stage is to direct respondents to decide the said legal notice in the light of judgment of this Court in A.P. Sharma's case (supra).

In view of the matter, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Director General of Police, Punjab (respondent No. 2 herein) to consider the said legal notice of the petitioner dated 11.11.2016 (Annexure-P-5) in the light of judgment of this Court in A.P. Sharma's case (supra) and decide the same within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

22.5.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No