

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.11090 of 2017.

Date of Decision:-22.05.2017.

Om Parkash Jaglan and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has questioned the validity of seniority list dated 27.2.2017 of Mandi Supervisor-cum-Fee Collector as on 1.12.2006. Perusal of the same, it is evident that it is only of provisional gradation list. Therefore, in the absence of finalization of the seniority list, writ petition is not maintainable.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that against the provisional gradation list of Mandi Supervisor-cum-Fee Collector, petitioners have filed their objections/suggestions for making necessary rectification in the provisional seniority list circulated vide letter dated 27.2.2017. However, without finalizing the same, the concerned respondent is proceeding to fill up next higher post by means of promotion mode.

Having regard to the fact that the petitioners have questioned the validity of provisional gradation list of Mandi Supervisor-cum-Fee Collector, writ

petition is premature. Hence, the concerned respondent is hereby directed to finalize the gradation list of Mandi Supervisor-cum-Fee Collector within a period of 3 months after due consideration of objections and suggestions, if any made by the Mandi Supervisors-cum-Fee Collectors. Till then, the concerned respondent is directed to not to operate the provisional gradation list for the post of Mandi Supervisor for the purpose of promotion.

With the above observations, petition stand disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

May 22, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.