
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237(4)

**Civil Writ Petition No.1105 of 2017
Date of Decision: October 06, 2017**

Mohinder Paul

...Petitioner

versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Shireesh Gupta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

In this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to pay/release the GPF, leave encashment, gratuity etc. and all other retiral benefits alongwith interest @ 18% p.a.

2. In response to the notice having been issued, an

affidavit dated 06.10.2017 of Sh. Girish Kumar, Executive Officer, Municipal Council Kotkapura, District Faridkot on behalf of respondent No.4 has been filed in Court today, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. It has been stated in the affidavit that all the retiral benefits along with interest have been released to the petitioner. The details of payments made have been given in the Certificate annexed as 'Annexure A-1' along with the affidavit which read thus:-

“It is certified that all the retirement dues Rs.1117707/- (eleven lac seventeen thousand seven hundred & seven only) with interest is paid to Sh. Mahinder Pal retired sweeper vide cheque no.161217 dated 29.06.2016 of Rs.1,00,000/- (one lac only), vide cheque no.192501 dated 26.05.2017 of Rs.3,87,695/- (three lac eighty seven thousand six hundred & ninty five only) and vide cheque no.192528 dated 20.06.2017 of Rs.630012/- (six lac thirty thousand & twelve only). Nothing is due of Sh. Mahinder Pal retired (sweeper) against this office.”

3. In view of the above, it was stated by learned counsel for respondent No.4 that grievance of the petitioner has been redressed.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such. However, liberty is

granted to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the writ petition, in case something survives therein.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

October 06, 2017
sonia gugnani

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No