

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11037 of 2017
Date of decision: May 25, 2017

Jashanpreet

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. J.S.Lalli, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Surinder Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent no.4.

Ms. Shivangi Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent no.5-MCI.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner submits that since the petitioner has been given admission after awarding him due incentives, therefore, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous accordingly.

May 25, 2017
vinod*

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No