

133

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 10991 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 19.5.2017

Narinder Mohan and others

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

..-

..-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that since the Special Leave Petition filed against the judgment of this Court in CWP No. 25733 of 2012, titled as A.P. Sharma and others Versus State of Punjab and others, decided on 22.10.2013 , has been dismissed by the Apex Court, therefore, the petitioners shall make a representation to respondents, claiming the benefit of judgment of this Court in A.P. Sharma's case (supra).

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to respondents that if the petitioners make a representation, claiming the benefit of judgment of this Court in A.P. Sharma's case (supra), the same shall be decided in the light of judgment passed by this Court in A.P. Sharma's case (supra) and if it is found that the petitioners are entitled to the benefit of judgment of this Court in A.P. Sharma's case (supra), the same shall also be released to them. However, if it is found that the petitioners are not entitled to the benefit of said judgment of this Court in A.P. Sharma's case (supra), a speaking order shall be passed within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.5.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No