

**126 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10960 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 19, 2017

Hakam Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Food Corporation of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. G.S. Samra, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has sought compulsory retirement from the department of respondent No.4 on 29.11.2004. During his service, he was awarded punishment reduction in rank, which was modified vide order dated 06.06.2002 (Annexure P-3) by the revisional authority allowing to consider his claim for promotion on turn and the absence period was ordered to be treated as period spent on duty by sanctioning kind of leave due to him to that of 'Censure'. The petitioner claims increments, promotion, if any. He has already made representation dated 15.09.2016 (Annexure P-7).

Admittedly, the petitioner has approached this Court very late. Let the matter be decided by the concerned department and representation of the petitioner dated 15.09.2016 (Annexure P-7) be decided by the respondents within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

As such, the present petition is disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 19, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes Whether Reportable: No