

**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10934 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 19, 2017

Sham Lal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Neelam Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that an order of punishment dated 10.03.2009 (Annexure P-5) has been passed against the petitioner by respondent No.1, whereby recovery of ₹18,61,849/- has been ordered from his salary @ ₹5,000/- per month. The petitioner has preferred an appeal before the appellate authority-respondent No.2 on 29.04.2009 (Annexure P-6). The said appeal is pending.

Since the appeal is not being decided, therefore, short prayer is made to direct respondent No.2 to decide the appeal of the petitioner dated 29.04.2009 (Annexure P-6).

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 consider and decide the appeal of the petitioner dated 29.04.2009 (Annexure P-6) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 19, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No