

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10910 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 31, 2017

Madan Gopal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. G.S. Punia, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. P.S. Punia, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner has challenged the charge sheet dated nil (Annexure P-44), inquiry report dated 11.07.2014 (Annexure P-50), punishment order dated 07.01.2015 (Annexure P-49) and the order passed by the appellate authority.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and going through the case file, I am of the view that in this case, evidence is required to be led to prove the case. Therefore, the petitioner is relegated to avail the remedy before the civil court.

Disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 31, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No