

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 10899 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 19.5.2017

Parveen Kumar Garg

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Director General, CSIR and Secretary, DSIR and others Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Abhivadya Sood, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the chargesheet dated 23.4.2015 (Annexure-P-1). The petitioner disputes the date of service of the chargesheet stating that it was served after his retirement. He also claims the release of the retiral benefits.

After going through the file and hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, I am of the view that at this stage, there is no ground to interfere in the disciplinary proceedings. It is stated that two years have passed and the chargesheet is lingering on and nothing has been heard from respondents.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to conclude the inquiry within six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, where the petitioner can raise all the pleas, available to him, which shall be considered and decided.

CWP No. 10899 of 2017 (O/M)

Regarding the retiral benefits, respondents are directed to pass a speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order as to which retiral benefits are to be withheld and which are to be released.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No