

112+149

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.9856 of 2017 in/and
CWP No.10864 of 2017
Date of decision: July 26, 2017**

Shinder Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Gurjinder S. Chahal, Advocate
for the applicant/petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

CM No.9856 of 2017

Annexures P-8 and P-9 are taken on record. It be tagged at the appropriate place.

Application stands disposed of accordingly.

CWP No.10864 of 2017

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to implement the judgment dated 20.05.2013 (Annexure P-6) passed by this Court in CWP No.16446 of 2010 as the petitioners are also similarly placed/circumstanced employees who joined as Junior Engineers on the dates mentioned against their names in Para No.2 of the present civil writ petition and to decide the legal notice dated 09.01.2017 (Annexure P-7).

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to the official respondent(s) to decide legal notice dated 09.01.2017 (Annexure P-7) within a stipulated period.

Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their legal notice dated 09.01.2017 (Annexure P-7) and to decide the same in accordance with law particularly keeping in view the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.16446 of 2010 titled as *Jaswinder Singh Bedi and others Vs. State of Punjab and others* decided on 20th May, 2013, which has attained finality as putforth by learned counsel for the petitioner, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case the concerned authority comes to the conclusion that petitioners are entitled to the benefits claimed by them, the same be released/disbursed to them within next two months. The grant of interest on delayed payment shall also be considered by the authority concerned. If the petitioners still feel aggrieved, he would be at liberty to approach this Court again.

July 26, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No