

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10789-2017

Date of decision : 30.08.2017

Sunita and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Sandeep Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Hitesh Pandit, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Though notice had been issued in this petition on 18.05.2017,
no reply has been filed so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that their limited
prayer is that their case for stepping up their pay to the level of their juniors
be decided in terms of the judgment of this Court in CWP no.11254 of
2010, decided on 15.05.2013, titled as ***Neelam Rani vs. The State of
Haryana and others.***

Consequently, without going into merits what is stated in the
petition, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent
authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the representations
of the petitioners (Annexure P-6), dated 15.11.2016 and 06.03.2017, and to
decide the same in terms of the judgment of this Court in ***Neelam Ranis'
case (supra)***, within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a

If the petitioners' case is not covered by the aforesaid judgment or any other judgment on the subject, detailed reasons as to why it is not covered, shall be given in the speaking order to be passed.

It is also clarified that in case a speaking order has already been passed giving such detailed reasons, then only a short order need be passed in the present petitioners' case, annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any).

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

August 30, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No