Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2017.05.22 11:09
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 10773 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 18.5.2017

Jaipal Singh and others ..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners claim the benefit of judgment of this Court, passed in CWP No. 25733 of 2012, titled as A.P. Sharma and others Versus State of Punjab and others, decided on 22.10.2013 (Annexure-P-13). The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the Special Leave Petition filed against the judgment of the Court in A.P. Sharma's case (supra) has been dismissed by the Apex Court. The petitioners have already served a legal notice dated 5.1.2017 (Annexure-P-15) upon respondents.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to respondents to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of judgment passed by this Court in A.P. Sharma's case (supra) and if it is found that the petitioners are entitled to the benefit of judgment of this Court in A.P. Sharma's case (supra), the same shall also be released to them. However, if it is found that the petitioners are not entitled to the benefit of said judgment of this Court in A.P. Sharma's case (supra), a speaking order shall be passed within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

18.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No