

CWP-10613-2017

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-10613-2017

Date of Decision: May 17, 2017

Siddharth Singh & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. G.S. Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Petitioners have approached this Court claiming the benefit of New ACP Scheme notified on 03.11.2006, which has not been granted to them by the respondents despite there being a judgment passed by this Court on 20.05.2013 in CWP No.16446 of 2010 (Annexure P-6). Letters Patent Appeal No.244 of 2014 preferred by the respondents was dismissed by the Division Bench of this Court on 19.12.2014. State of Punjab preferred Special Leave petition (Civil) No.26277 of 2015 which was dismissed vide order dated 04.09.2015. After the dismissal of Special leave Petition preferred by the State, petitioners served a notice through counsel dated 09.01.2017 (Annexure P-7) but neither the said notice has been responded to nor any benefit granted to them.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners at this stage would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Secretary to

CWP-10613-2017

Punjab-respondent No.1 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 09.01.2017 (Annexure P-7) within some specified time.

In the light of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, with directions to the Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of Rural Development and Panchayat, Punjab-respondent No.1 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 09.01.2017 (Annexure P-7) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

In case, the claim of the petitioners is accepted, the consequential benefits be released to them, in accordance with law, within a further period of one month. In case, the claim of the petitioners is not to be accepted, a well-reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioners forthwith.

May 17, 2017
Harish

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No