

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No.10569 of 2017
Decided on : 25.07.2017**

Chandermukhi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. Bishan Dass Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for consideration for regularization of the services.

It is the case of the petitioner that she had been engaged by the respondents through Employment Exchange on 27.08.2002 as a Sweeper in the Government Primary School, Shakkar Madauri, District Sirsa-respondent No.4, on daily wages/part time basis. The details of her salary certificates and appointment letter have been appended as Annexures P-1 to P-3 colly.

It is her case that since she had made a representation to the respondent No.2 for regularization. The said respondent vide letter dated 14.01.2015 (Annexure P-4) had asked the District Education Officer-respondent No.3 to send the required information and even her medical examination as such was conducted on 06.02.2015 (Annexure P-5). Further intimation was given on 10.02.2015 (Annexure P-7) by the District Education Officer to the Block Education Officer qua details of the

appointment. It is her case that no action has thereafter been taken on the said representation. A legal notice dated 13.11.2016 (Annexure P-8) was also served upon the respondents No.1 to 3 for the necessary relief, but no action has been taken on the same.

Counsel submits that he would be satisfied if time bound directions are issued to the respondents to decide the said issue, since a period of almost 15 years has passed, since she joined service.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copy of the paper-book has been supplied.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case and the eligibility of the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the said issue. Respondent No.2 shall decide the claim raised in the legal notice dated 13.11.2016 (Annexure P-8) within a period of 3 months from the receipt of the certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that, in case, the relief is to be denied, then a speaking order be passed and be conveyed to the petitioner.

JULY 25, 2017
Naveen

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No