

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 18302 OF 2013
DECIDED ON: NOVEMBER 02, 2017

GURDEEP KAUR

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. B.S. Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Bajaj, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Through instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release pension, gratuity and other retiral benefits of her deceased husband and other consequential benefits to the petitioner along with interest @ 18% per annum on the delayed payments as well as for issuance of directions to the respondents to decide legal notice dated 14.02.2013 (Annexure P-13).

2. A perusal of file transpires that vide order dated 21.08.2013, notice of motion was issued for 30.09.2013, to the respondents, in response to which, Id. Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who was present in Court accepted notice on behalf of the respondents. But prior to the date fixed in the petition i.e.

30.09.2013, on consideration of legal notice dated 14.03.2013 (Annexure P-13), order dated 25.09.2013 was passed whereby the relief claimed through legal notice was declined. Thereafter, a detailed reply by way of counter affidavit of Karanjit Singh, General Manager, Punjab Roadways, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar was filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, in which, it was specifically mentioned that the claim of the petitioner has been declined after taking into consideration order dated 25.09.2013. But thereafter, for one reason or the other, instant petition is being adjourned time and again. Even, order dated 25.09.2013 passed by the respondents has not been challenged.

In view of the passing of order dated 25.09.2013, instant petition has rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to challenge order dated 25.09.2013, if she feels aggrieved, before the appropriate court to seek redressal of her grievances.

NOVEMBER 02, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***