

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10542 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 17, 2017

Sodhi and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the date of superannuation of the petitioner No.1 is 31.12.2014 and that of petitioner No.2 is 28.02.2015 after including extension of two years being physically handicapped. It is claimed that the petitioners are entitled to grant of extension of more two years in service as per Government instructions.

It comes out that both the petitioners have already crossed the age of 62 years. Assuming that the case of the petitioners is covered by the Government instructions and they are entitled to extension of two years in service, no extension can be granted after crossing the age of 62 years.

Therefore, there is no merits in the present petition. As such, the same is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 17, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No