

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.14118 of 2016.

Date of Decision: May 18, 2017

Mamta Saini and others

.....Petitioners

versus

Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.Aman Pal and Mr.Gaurav Sethi, Advocates,
for the petitioners.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

This writ petition is directed against the order dated 26.08.2015 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, whereby claim of the petitioners to adjust them on Group-D posts on the basis of preferential claim emanating out of the fact that they have done apprenticeship under the respondent-authorities, has been turned down.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that out of 16 candidates like the petitioners, 10 have already been adjusted and keeping in view the availability of vacancies with the respondents, the petitioners want to submit a fresh claim before the authorities to treat them at par with the candidates who have since been adjusted.

In this view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioners do not press this writ petition which is accordingly disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to submit their representation to treat them at par with already adjusted candidates.

The respondent authorities are directed that as and when such representation is made, the same shall be decided in accordance with law and past practice(s).

**[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE**

May 18, 2017

mohinder

**[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

:

Yes/No