

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

CWP No.10517 of 2017

Date of Decision: 03.10.2017

Nisha Rani

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner is that she had applied in the category of General (Ex-Serviceman) and was at serial No.10 of the merit list. Two posts were there and one post has been filled up by candidate, namely, Kamaldeep Kaur and the candidates between said Kamaldeep Kaur and the petitioner have not joined due to the fact that they were declared ineligible because of lack of some eligibility conditions. One post is still lying vacant. Petitioner has served a legal notice dated 20.12.2016 upon the respondents but no action has been taken thereupon so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued to the respondents to consider her legal notice.

Keeping in view the limited prayer and also the fact that the legal notice served upon the respondents has not been responded by the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to

respondent No.2 to consider the legal notice dated 20.12.2016 (Annexure P-17) and take necessary action in accordance with law. In case, the said legal notice is decided in favour of the petitioner, the same be complied with and in case, respondent No.2 comes to the conclusion that the petitioner is not entitled for any reason, a detailed speaking order be passed. The necessary exercise be done within a period of two months.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

03.10.2017
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No