

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.1050 of 2017.

Date of Decision: January 23, 2017

Sunita Bhatt

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.Amit Kumar Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks a direction for allotment of plot under the Oustees Policy dated 18.03.1992 read with the subsequent notification/ Instructions dated 07.12.2007 and 11.08.2016. The petitioner's case is that her land measuring 200 square yard, fully described in para No.2 of the writ petition was acquired and in lieu thereof she is entitled to allotment of a plot under the above-stated Policy/Instructions. It also appears to be the petitioner's case that she was co-sharer in the acquired property and in terms of Oustees policy, every co-sharer is entitled to allotment of a plot.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the nature of relief sought, however without expressing any views on merits, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.2 & 3 to verify and decide the petitioner's claim by passing a reasoned order in the light of the above-stated policies. In case the petitioner is entitled to allotment under the Oustees policy, the allotment shall be made within a

period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

January 23, 2017
mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No