

203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 2137 of 2012 (O/M)
Date of decision : 13.2.2017

Tilak Raj Verma

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sharwan Sehgal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Pathania, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

After going through the order dated 17.8.2011 (Annexure-P-8), it comes out that the pay of the petitioner is less on account of the fact that the benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme was declined to him, vide Government letter dated 12.2.2002, conveyed to him on 22.3.2002, which according to the petitioner was not received by him. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that he wants to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to challenge the said order.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty, subject to law of land.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

13.2.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No